



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,235	05/08/2001	Harvey R. Bialk	2001-0192 3999 EXAMINER	
22045	7590 04/28/2004			
D110 0110 1-	USHMAN P.C.	SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D		
1000 TOWN	CENTER COND FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIEL	D, MI 48075		2611	/
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/851,235	BIALK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dominic D Saltarelli	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	ebruary 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	٠, ٢, ٥ ١,٥١٠				

Application/Control Number: 09/851,235 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 1 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection. In response to applicant's arguments on page 10, first paragraph, it is the examiner's position that the combination of Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak do in fact teach the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 13, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farry et al. (5,608,447, listed in PTO-892 of the first action) [Farry] in view of Dev et al. (5,559.955, listed in PTO-892 of the first action) [Dev] and Ludwiczak et al. (5,513,171, listed in PTO-892 of the first action) [Ludwiczak].

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Farry discloses a hybrid fiber coax (HFC) network (col. 3, lines 5-9) having network elements operable for communicating telephony, data, and video signals (col. 2, lines 12-16 and col. 4, lines 13-31) with customer-premises equipment of a given subscriber (DET 1500, Figure 15, col. 10 line 63 – col. 11 line 2), the HFC network comprising:

Art Unit: 2611

A database (Figure 5, 530) operable for storing data indicative of the configuration and logical connections [virtual circuit data tables] of the network elements and customer-premises equipment (col. 7, lines 23-33); and

An online provisioning application link (OPAL) (Level 1 gateway, col. 4, lines 43-51) operable with the database (col. 11, lines 32-36) for provisioning network elements with the customer-premises equipment of the subscriber in order to enable communication of telephony, data, and video signals between the HFC network and the customer-premises equipment of the subscriber.

Farry fails to disclose the database is a service, design, and inventory (SDI) database operable for storing data indicative of assigned capacity of the network elements and for storing data indicative of the physical connections between the network elements themselves and with the customer-premises equipment of the subscribers; and

The online provisioning application link (OPAL) is operable with the SDI database to access the stored data for automatically, without manual intervention, provisioning network elements with the customer-premises equipment of a given subscriber based on the configurations of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment of the given subscriber and based on the assigned capacity of the network elements such that the provisioned network elements and the customer-premises equipment of the given subscriber are physically and logically connected in order to enable

Art Unit: 2611

communication of the telephony, data, and video signals between the HFC network and customer-premises equipment of the given subscriber;

The SDI database is operable with the OPAL in order to automatically update, without manual intervention, the stored data indicative of the configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment of the subscriber, the assigned capacity of the network elements, and the physical and logical connections between the network elements themselves and with the customer-premises equipment of the subscribers to account for the automated provisioning of the provisioned network elements with the customer-premises equipment of the given subscriber.

In an analogous art, Dev teaches a virtual network which serves as a database which contains all relevant information concerning the managing and monitoring of a network (col. 5, lines 20-44), including the servicing of the network (col. 5, lines 21-25), the design of the network [the physical connections between all devices] (col. 5, lines 29-34), the inventory of the network (col. 5, lines 35-39), centralizing all such information into one relevant database, simplifying the managing and monitoring of the network.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the HFC network and method disclosed by Farry to include an SDI database which stores the data indicative of the configuration of the network elements and customer-premises equipment of subscribers and also the physical connections between the HFC network and the customer-premises equipment of

Art Unit: 2611

subscribers as taught by Dev, for the benefit of centralizing all such information into one relevant database which simplifies the managing and monitoring of the HFC network.

In an analogous art, Ludwiczak teaches a network (fig. 1, network 100) wherein an OPAL (fig. 1, part of network management system [NMS] 150) operable with a database (fig.1, database 160) to access stored data (col. 3, lines 8-16) for automatically, without manual intervention, provisioning network elements with the customer-premises equipment [CPE] of a given subscriber based on the configurations of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment of the given subscriber and based on the assigned capacity of the network elements such that the provisioned network elements and the customerpremises equipment of the given subscriber are physically and logically connected (col. 3, lines 8-29); and the database is operable with the OPAL in order to automatically update, without manual intervention, the stored data indicative of the configuration of the network elements and the customerpremises equipment of the subscriber (col. 3, lines 50-55), the assigned capacity of the network elements (configuration of each element, col. 3, lines 45-50, wherein the configuration of each element defines capacity, col. 3, lines 18-21), and the physical and logical connections between the network elements themselves and with the customer-premises equipment of the subscribers (col. 3, lines 50-55) to account for the automated provisioning of the provisioned network elements with the customer-premises equipment of the given subscriber (col. 3,

lines 55-60), allowing the network to be monitored and managed automatically, for faster and more efficient network supervision (col. 3, lines 22-29 and 39-44).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the HFC network and corresponding method disclosed by Farry and Dev to include the OPAL to be operable with the SDI database to access the stored data for automatically, without manual intervention, provisioning network elements with the customer-premises equipment of a given subscriber based on the configurations of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment of the given subscriber and based on the assigned capacity of the network elements such that the provisioned network elements and the customerpremises equipment of the given subscriber are physically and logically connected in order to enable communication of the telephony, data, and video signals between the HFC network and customer-premises equipment of the given subscriber; and the SDI database is operable with the OPAL in order to automatically update, without manual intervention, the stored data indicative of the configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment of the subscriber, the assigned capacity of the network elements, and the physical and logical connections between the network elements themselves and with the customer-premises equipment of the subscribers to account for the automated provisioning of the provisioned network elements with the customerpremises equipment of the given subscriber, as taught by Ludwiczak. The

Art Unit: 2611

reason for doing so is to monitor and manage the HFC network automatically, for faster and more efficient network management and supervision.

Regarding claims 2 and 14, Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak as applied above, disclose the HFC network and method of claims 1 and 13, but fail to disclose an HFC network manager for monitoring the network elements and the customer-premises equipment, for controlling configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment, and for monitoring the configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment.

Ludwiczak further discloses an HFC network manager (fig. 1, NMS 150, col. 3, lines 45-60) for monitoring the network elements and the customer-premises equipment, for controlling configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment, and for monitoring the configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment (col. 3, lines 8-21, 45-60), increasing the efficiency of an HFC network by dedicating a management system which can automatically monitor and control network configuration.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the network and method disclosed by Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak to include an HFC network manager for monitoring the network elements and the customer-premises equipment, for controlling configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment, and for monitoring the configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment, as

Art Unit: 2611

further taught by Ludwiczak. The reason for doing so is to increase the efficiency of the HFC network by dedicating a management system which can automatically monitor and control network configuration of network elements and customer-premises equipment.

Page 8

Regarding claim 10, Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak disclose the HFC network of claim 1, and additionally disclose an order manager (Farry, information server 501) operable with the OPAL (Farry, col. 11, lines 21-28) for monitoring the provisioning of HFC network elements with customer-premises equipment by OPAL.

Regarding claims 3 and 15, Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak, as applied above, disclose the HFC network and method of claims 2 and 14, but fail to disclose a fault manager having an alarm visualization tool operable with the HFC network manager and the SDI database for generating visual displays of the status and configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment of the subscribers based on the monitored status of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment and the data indicative of the configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment.

Dev further discloses a network management system which includes a fault manager [user interface (10)] that visually displays the status and configuration of every device in the network (col. 5, lines 21-25, 28-35, 41-44)

Art Unit: 2611

and an alarm visualization tool (col. 8, lines 29-39) which is based on the monitored status and data indicative of the configuration of the network elements, so that an operator is provided with different views of the network being managed (col. 3, lines 55-60) along with any alarms or events occurring within the network (col. 5, lines 14-16).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person or ordinary skill in the art to modify the HFC network method disclosed by Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak to include a fault manager having an alarm visualization tool operable with the HFC network manager and the SDI database for generating visual displays of the status and configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment (where customer-premises equipments is considered a network element in light of the Dev disclosure) of the subscribers based on the monitored status of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment and the data indicative of the configuration of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment, as taught by Dev. The reason for doing so is that an operator is provided with different views of the network being managed along with any alarms or events occurring within the network, quickly alerting an operator to the precise location and nature of any faults that occur within the HFC network.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/851,235

Art Unit: 2611

4. Claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak, as applied to claims 3 and 15 above, and further in view of Daniel, III et al. (4,972,453, listed on PTO-892 of first action) [Daniel].

Regarding claims 4, 6, 16, and 17, Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak disclose the HFC network and method of claims 3 and 15, but fail to disclose a trouble ticket system operable with at least one of the HFC network manager and the fault manager for generating trouble ticket alerts in response to improper status or configuration of at least one of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment.

Daniel discloses a trouble ticket system (104) (col. 3, lines 36-39) operable with expert system (102) which generates trouble ticket alerts in response to the state of various components within a network (col. 3, lines 23-36), this state being configurations of network components (col. 5, lines 6-8) or status of individual network components in order to generate a fault report alerting the network manager [expert system] to problems with the network (col. 2, lines 18-39).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the HFC network and method disclosed by Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak, to include a trouble ticket system operable with at least one of the HFC network manager and the fault manager for generating trouble ticket alerts in response to improper status or configuration of at least one of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment as taught by Daniel. The

Art Unit: 2611

reason for doing so is to generate a fault report which alerts the HFC network manager or the fault manager to problems with the network.

Regarding claims 5 and 7, Farry, Dev, Ludwiczak, and Daniel disclose the HFC network of claims 4 and 6, and is characterized in that the HFC network manager updates the improper status of at least one of the network elements and the customer-premises equipment to a proper status after the trouble ticket has been addressed.

The HFC network manager introduced by Ludwiczak automatically updates the status and configuration data stored in the database whenever a change takes place (Ludwiczak, col. 3, lines 55-60).

5. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Opoczynski (5,519,830, listed in PTO-892 of first action) and Gorman et al. (6,137,793, listed in PTO-892 of first action) [Gorman].

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak disclose the HFC network of claim 1, and additionally disclose video equipment for communicating video signals (Farry, col. 4, lines 18-20) and for the network elements include a fiber optic node (Farry: Figure 8, ONU, col. 7 line 65 – col. 8 line 3) connected to the distribution node by a fiber optics network and to subscribers through coaxial cable.

Art Unit: 2611

Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak fail to disclose the network elements include a host digital terminal for communicating the telephony signals and a cable modem termination system (CMTS) for communicating the data signals.

Opoczynski discloses a host digital terminal (300) for communicating telephony signals over a network (col. 3, lines 44-57).

Gorman discloses a CMTS (col. 8, lines 39-46) for communicating data over a network.

It would have been obvious at the time to modify the HFC network and method disclosed by Farry, Dev, and Ludwiczak to include among the network elements a host digital terminal for communicating the telephony signals as taught by Opoczynski in order to provide a means for distributing the telephony signals over the HFC network, enhancing the flexibility of the system and expanding the services offered.

It would have been obvious at the time to further modify the HFC network and method disclosed by Farry, Dev, Ludwiczak, and Opoczynski to include among the network elements a CMTS for communicating the data signals as taught by Gorman in order to provide a means for communicating data signals over the HFC network, enhancing the flexibility of the system and expanding the services offered.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/851,235 Page 13

Art Unit: 2611

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Art Unit: 2611

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Certificate of Transmission
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Page 15

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dominic D Saltarelli whose telephone number is (703) 305-8660. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the primary examiner, Christopher Grant can be reached on (703) 305-4755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dominic Saltarelli Patent Examiner Art Unit 2611

DS

CHRIS GRANT PRIMARY EXAMINER